

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,582	01/04/2001	Hiroyuki Matsushima	201510US2	2026
22850	7590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MOONEYHAM, JANICE A	
	IA, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3629	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/753,582	MATSUSHIMA, HIROYUKI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Janice A. Mooneyham	3629			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REF	PLY FILED <u>21 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛭	this plac a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
		e periods:
a)		The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	\boxtimes	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
		Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
nave unde set f may	been or 37 Corth in reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, see any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL
	filin a N	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENTS
3. ⊉	(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b)	☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d)	☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
_	_	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. F	٦Ab	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. [] Ne	why proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the i-allowable claim(s).
7. 🗵	For hov	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of v the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. Estatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Cla	im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to:
	Cla	im(s) rejected to: im(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-19, 21-25, and 28-32</u> . im(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	IDAV	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	bec was	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and so not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. [ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
		ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11.	⊠ Tr	ne request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
		the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Raper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The applicant has amended claims 2, 13, and 28. Therefore, the Examiner will need to rconsider the prior art with these added limitations in mind and/or do a new search.

If applicant is definng the amended claim language from the amendment entered on Sptember 28, 2005, ie. the designating unit, as presented in applicant's Remarks and Arguments submitted on February 21, 2006, the Examiner asserts that the applicant has a new matter issue.